



Bill Gates and the Population Control Grid

Are the rumors true that Bill Gates is funding vaccine programs, not to reduce deaths but to reduce births? And is it true that his philanthropic projects have been doing this by disguising their anti-fertility vaccines as anti-disease vaccines so the women receiving the shots become sterilized without their consent? The answer is a resounding yes and, as you will see in this documentary, the proof is not even hard to find. This documentary begins by showing the history of Gates' life-long compulsion to reduce the human population and then shows how the vaccine technologies he has funded for that purpose now are being deployed to identify and track every human on the planet. This is not for health purposes but for economic, social, and political control. James Corbett, as usual, has done an excellent job documenting and analyzing the facts.

Transcript:

[Episode 379 – Bill Gates and the Population Control Grid](#)

Connection Between the Rockefeller Foundation, Johns Hopkins, and Gates Foundations

The 2010 Rockefeller Foundation's 'Lock Step' paper and the Johns Hopkins Event 201 predicted and rehearsed for a pandemic. The two organizations have a long history of collaboration ever since 1916, when the John Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health was founded with funding from the Rockefeller Foundation. Since then, they have become inseparable.

The Rockefeller Foundation published a paper in 2010 titled [Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development](#). The first scenario was called LOCK STEP and was described as follows: "A world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback."

In this scenario, a virus pandemic appears suddenly, quickly infecting populations around the world, destroying economies by interrupting mobility of people and goods, and debilitating industries and global supply chains.

This makes it possible for government leaders to take total control over their citizens as a public-health measure – a process the people greatly disliked but are psychologically

incapable of resisting, because it is done in the name of protecting them. Thus, the economies and political structures of the whole world emerge as a united totalitarian system with a resentful and unruly population but one that can be effectively controlled by new technology.

We see from this that the economic and political effects of a pandemic were being carefully studied at the Rockefeller Foundation at least as early as 2010. More recently, a pandemic simulation took place at Event 201, which was hosted by the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security in partnership with the World Economic Forum and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. This occurred six weeks before the first reported outbreak of the coronavirus. Almost to a tee, it followed the initial phase of the LOCK STEP scenario.

Now this is where it gets interesting, because it deals with the connection between the Rockefeller Lock Step scenario and the Johns Hopkins Event 201. The two organizations have a long history of collaboration ever since 1916, when the John Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health was founded with funding from the Rockefeller Foundation. Since then, they have become inseparable.

Some of the history of this collaboration is not pleasant. For example, In the 1940s, 750 victims filed a \$1-billion lawsuit against the Rockefeller Foundation, the Johns Hopkins Hospital, the Johns Hopkins University, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, and the Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation, alleging that they were the driving force behind human experiments in the 1940s in which vulnerable populations of Guatemalans were intentionally exposed to syphilis, gonorrhoea, and other venereal diseases, without informed consent. The experiments targeted school children, orphans, psychiatric hospital patients, prison inmates, and military conscripts.

Key Rockefeller and Johns Hopkins researchers involved in the Guatemala Experiments also were behind the now infamous Tuskegee experiments in which 600 impoverished African-American sharecroppers were never informed they had syphilis, and were given placebos rather than treatment.

Bill and Melinda Gates now have joined this team and, as previously stated, they co-sponsored Event 201. It is logical that they should come together on this project. All parties involved are obsessed with population reduction. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation granted at least \$60-million to the Johns Hopkins University's Bloomberg School of Public Health to fund the Bill and Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health that is located inside of the Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore. In their dictionary, reproductive health means drastically reducing the birth rate.

None of this proves ill intent of these parties, but it does (or should) sound the alarm to be cautious when these people and their organizations ask us to trust their judgment and leadership in a health experiment called vaccines, most of which never have been tested for safety and all of which are loaded with toxins known to reduce fertility and shorten lifespan. Could that be a covert way of reducing the population? Think about it.

Sources:

Rockefeller Foundation paper –
<http://www.nommeraadio.ee/meedia/pdf/RRS/Rockefeller%20Foundation.pdf>

<https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/rockefeller-johns-hopkins-behind-horrific-human-syphilis-experiments-allege-guatemalan-victims-in-lawsuit-300059537.html>

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-maryland-lawsuit-infections/johns-hopkins-bristol-myers-must-face-1-billion-syphilis-infections-suit-idUSKCN10Y1N3>

<https://rockfound.rockarch.org/public-health-at-johns-hopkins>

<https://www.gatesfoundation.org/Media-Center/Press-Releases/1999/05/Johns-Hopkins-University-School-of-Public-Health>



Rockefeller Foundation Paper Published in 2010 Predicted How a Pandemic Can be Used as an Excuse to Establish Global Authoritarian Power

The Rockefeller Foundation published a report in May 2010 in cooperation with the Global Business Network of futurologist Peter Schwartz. It was called *Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development*. The first scenario, titled, "Lock Step", describes a world of total government control and authoritarian leadership. It envisions a future where a pandemic would allow national leaders to flex their authority and impose airtight rules and restrictions that would remain after the pandemic faded. The first half of this scenario already has unfolded. Will it continue as predicted? The information on Lock Step begins at about the two-thirds point of the article. The Rockefeller report in its entirety can be downloaded [here](#). [The importance of this document

cannot be overstated.] -GEG

Every day world mainstream news reports more people in more countries diagnosed “positive” for the coronavirus illness, now called COVID-19. As the reported numbers grow, so does widespread nervousness, often in the form of panic shopping for masks, disinfections, toilet paper, canned goods. We are told to accept the testing results as science-based. While it is next to impossible to get a full picture of what is taking place in China, the center of the novel virus storm, there is a process, being fed by mainstream media accounts and genuine panic in populations unclear what the real dangers are, that has alarming implications for the post-pandemic future.

During the last week of January the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) ordered an unprecedented lock down of an entire city of 11 million, Wuhan, in an attempt to contain a public health situation that had clearly gotten out of control. Never before in the history of modern public health had a government placed an entire city in quarantine by imposing a cordon sanitaire around it. That lock down was quickly extended to other China cities to the extent that, for the past weeks, a major part of the world’s second largest national economy has shut down. That in turn is impacting the global economy.

At this point, as cases and the first deaths are being reported in countries outside of China, especially in South Korea, Japan, Iran and Italy, the prime question everyone has is how dangerous this virus is. The fiasco with the US CDC, where the putative tests for the novel virus were shown defective, underscores the fact that the testing for the now-named virus, SARS-CoV-2, said to cause the disease called COVID-19, is anything [but 100% reliable](#). Despite this, influenced by a steady stream of mainstream media images of empty shop shelves in Italy, of police cordons around Washington State nursing homes said to house several presumed Coronavirus patients, of pictures of Iranian hospitals filled with body bags, millions of citizens are understandably

becoming alarmed and fearful.

What is being done in city after city and country after country is cancellation of major events where many people come together. This has included the Venice Carnival, major sports events, trade shows in Switzerland and elsewhere being canceled. Major airlines are being financially devastated as people around the world cancel holiday flights, as are cruise ship lines. China orders burning of cash notes claiming they might be contaminated. The French Louvre reopens but does not accept cash, only cards, as paper might be contaminated. WHO warns about paper money contagion risk. Countries are introducing laws such as in the UK allowing legal detention of citizens who might have a virus. Growing media promotion in the West of shop shelves bare of everyday essentials such as rice, pasta, toilet paper is feeding panic buying everywhere.

Questions on Death Rate

It is important to have a perspective on the apparent deaths provably due to COVID-19. Here facts become very imprecise.

As of March 3, 2020 according to WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom, worldwide there were a total of 90,893 cases of COVID-19, with 3,110 resulting in death. He then called this a 3.4% mortality rate, a figure highly disputed by other health experts. Tedros stated, "Globally, about 3.4% of reported COVID-19 cases have died. By comparison, seasonal flu generally kills far fewer than 1% of those infected."

The problem is that no one can say precisely what the true death rate is. That's because globally we have not tested all who might have mild cases of the virus and the accuracy of those tests are anything but 100% certain. But a statement about a death rate more than three times that of seasonal flu is a real panic-maker if true.

The reality is very likely a far lower true mortality according to epidemic experts. "We do not report all the

cases,” says Professor John Edmunds of the Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. “In fact, we only usually report a small proportion of them. If there are many more cases in reality, then the case fatality ratio will be lower.” Edmunds went on to say, “What you can safely say [...] is that if you divide the number of reported deaths by the number of reported cases [to get the case fatality ratio], you will almost certainly get the [wrong answer](#).” The WHO under Tedros seems to be erring on the side of spreading panic.

The WHO and the USA CDC some years ago changed the definition of deaths from seasonal flu to “deaths of flu or pneumonia.” The CDC calculates only an approximate flu death count by totaling death certificates processed that list “pneumonia or influenza” as the underlying or contributing cause of death. The CDC estimates 45 Million Flu Cases, and 61,000 what they deftly call “Flu-Associated” Deaths in 2017-2018 US Flu Season. How many were elderly with pneumonia or other lung diseases is unclear. Naturally the numbers help spread fear and sell seasonal flu vaccines whose positive effect is [anything but proven](#). Worldwide, the CDC estimated in a study in 2017 that, “between 291,000 and 646,000 people worldwide die from seasonal influenza-related respiratory illnesses [each year](#).”

In China alone the estimate for seasonal influenza-associated (including pneumonia) deaths was about 300,000 in 2018. Note that 3,000 corona-attributed deaths, as tragic as it is, is but 1% of the “normal” annual deaths from lung-related illnesses in China, and because of the mixed or changing China accounting, it is not clear how many of the 3,000 China deaths are even from seasonal pneumonia. But owing to dramatic videos, not verifiable, of people allegedly dropping dead on the streets in China, with no proof, or of Wuhan hospitals filled in the corridors with body bags apparently of dead from COVID-19, much of the world is understandably anxious about

this strange exogenous invader.

Amid what is clearly confusion among many well-meaning health officials and likely opportunism by Western vaccine makers like GlaxoSmithKline or Gilead and others, with alarming speed our world is being transformed in ways just months ago we could not have imagined.

'LOCK STEP'

Whatever has occurred inside China at this point it is almost impossible to say owing to conflicting reactions of the Beijing authorities and several changes in ways of counting COVID-19 cases. The question now is how the relevant authorities in the West will use this crisis. Here it is useful to go back to a highly relevant report published a decade ago by the Rockefeller Foundation, one of the world's leading backers of eugenics, and creators of GMO among other things.

The report in question has the bland title, "Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development." It was published in May 2010 in cooperation with the Global Business Network of futurologist Peter Schwartz. The report contains various futurist scenarios developed by Schwartz and company. One scenario carries the intriguing title, "LOCK STEP: A world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback." Here it gets interesting as in what some term predictive programming.

The Schwartz scenario states, "In 2012, the pandemic that the world had been anticipating for years finally hit. Unlike 2009's H1N1, this new influenza strain – originating from wild geese – was extremely virulent and deadly. Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, infecting nearly 20 percent of the global population and killing 8 million in just seven

months...” He continues, “The pandemic also had a deadly effect on economies: international mobility of both people and goods screeched to a halt, debilitating industries like tourism and breaking global supply chains. Even locally, normally bustling shops and office buildings sat empty for months, devoid of both [employees and customers.](#)” This sounds eerily familiar.

Then the scenario gets very interesting: “During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed airtight rules and restrictions, from the mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets. Even after the pandemic faded, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems – from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and rising poverty – leaders around the world took a firmer grip on [power.](#)”

[Read full article here...](#)



**Rockefeller, Johns Hopkins,
and Bristol Meyers Squibb Sued**

for \$1-Billion for Intentionally Infecting People with Syphilis

A federal judge approved a \$1-billion lawsuit against Johns Hopkins University, Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. and the Rockefeller Foundation for intentionally infecting victims with syphilis during government experiments in Guatemala during the 1940s. The test subjects in the experiments were mainly children, orphans, patients from mental hospitals, and inmates, and most were never treated. The horrific studies remained a secret until 2010 when Dr. Susan Reverby of Wellesley College uncovered details of the experiment. -GEG

A federal judge recently approved a \$1 billion lawsuit against Johns Hopkins University, Bristol-Myers Squibb Co (BMY.N) and the Rockefeller Foundation. The lawsuit is seeking restitution for victims who were intentionally infected with syphilis during government experiments in Guatemala during the 1940s.

Hundreds of Guatemalans were reportedly infected with syphilis during the studies, which were designed to test the ability of penicillin to treat sexually transmitted diseases. The lawsuit was pending and waiting in limbo for the past four years until it was finally approved by U.S.

District Judge Theodore Chuang last month. In 2015, when the lawsuit was originally filed, there were 750 victims seeking restitution, now there are only 444 plaintiffs and some remaining relatives.

The test subjects in the experiments were mainly children, orphans, patients from mental hospitals and inmates.

The horrific studies remained a secret until 2010 when Dr. Susan Reverby of Wellesley College in Massachusetts uncovered details of the experiment, forcing a formal apology from then President Barack Obama.


Reverby learned of the experiments after the death of John Charles Cutler, one of the lead researchers in the study. Cutler left behind a stack of files which contained details of the barbaric experiments.

[Read full article here...](#)



CRISPER Gene Editing Causes Hundreds of Off-Target Mutations

A new study shows that CRISPR gene editing, snipping parts of DNA out of a gene, can cause hundreds of unintended gene mutations. Scientists performing gene editing are like children playing with the controls of an atomic bomb. DNA tinkering is part of a long-standing program, originally funded by the Rockefellers, to transform the human race into two distinct species: one to serve and the other to command.
—GEG



The Dark History Of The

Rockefellers And Their Impact On Humanity

James Corbett's mini-documentary, *How Big Oil Conquered The World*, reviews the history of JD Rockefeller and his family, including David Rockefeller. JD's credo was that "competition is a sin", and this documentary shows how the destruction of competition actually was accomplished – not just in the oil industry – but everywhere Rockefeller money was invested. That includes education, health care, banking, and even the food supply. The goal is to monopolize everything, including life itself. -GEG



5 Reasons David Rockefeller Was Not Liked. Envy Of Wealth Is Not One Of Them.

David Rockefeller, the last grandson of JD Rockefeller, died on Sunday March 19th at age 101. Mainstream media has hailed him as a philanthropist and visionary, but the programs he set in motion do not support that image. Instead, his life work has produced monopolies, cartels, and private societies to promote population control and global government based on the model of collectivism. -GEG

With New World Order kingpin [David Rockefeller dead](#), here's a look at the top 5 reasons why he was one of the most despised people on Earth and won't be missed.

1. Founder Of Several Secret Societies

David Rockefeller was a huge part of virtually every secret society of ultra rich on the planet. He was not just a member of the Council Of Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, and the Bilderberg Group – he was reportedly the founder. Through these secret societies and others like the occult [Bohemian Grove](#) club, Rockefeller conspired to control the media and governments around the world to establish his goal towards a one world government, even once thanking the media for it's complicity.

“We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years.” He went on to explain: “It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries,” David Rockefeller, said speaking at the June, 1991 Bilderberger meeting in Baden, Germany.

2. Population Control

Rockefeller is no stranger to the agenda of population control. In a speech in September 14, 1994, during the Annual Ambassadors' Dinner he [discussed](#) how the U.N. should sustain the world's population, noting that improved public health has caused global life expectancy to rise from 46 years in the 1960s, to 60 years at the time. 'Stabilizing the population' –

as Rockefeller called it – actually meant sterilization, vaccinations, encouraging abortions, using food as a weapon, and viewing disease and war as a means of “correction” to stop population growth.

3. Rockefeller Foundation was behind unethical experiments on the population

Following up from Rockefeller’s own speech, we have the fact that the Rockefeller Institute was allegedly behind unethical experiments on the population. A few include [infecting Guatemalans with STDs](#), sponsoring a plot by [Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads](#) to [infect Puerto Ricans with cancer](#), an allegation which Rhoads strongly [denied](#) and dismissed as a joke.

David Rockefeller joined the Rockefeller Institute board of trustees in 1940, was its chairman from 1950 to 1975, chaired the board’s executive committee from 1975 to 1995, and became honorary chairman and life trustee.

[Read Full Article Here...](#)